## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF RHODE ISLAND

ALBERT GRAY, ADMINISTRATOR, ET A	<del>M.</del> )
Plaintiffs,	)
v.	) C.A. NO.: 04-312-L
JEFFREY DERDERIAN, et al.	)
Defendants.	)
	/

# <u>DEFENDANT'S, LUNA TECH, INC., ANSWER TO PLAINTIFFS'</u> FIRST AMENDED MASTER COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

#### **INTRODUCTION**

NOW comes the defendant, Luna Tech, Inc. (the "defendant"), and responds to the plaintiffs' First Amended Master Complaint. The defendant responds to the plaintiffs' First Amended Master Complaint as follows:

#### **PARTIES**

#### **Plaintiffs**

1-240. The defendant is without sufficient knowledge to either admit or deny the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 240 and calls upon the plaintiffs for proof.

### GENERAL ALLEGATIONS AS TO ALL DEFENDANTS

271(sic). The defendant is without sufficient knowledge to either admit or deny the allegations contained in paragraph 271(sic) and calls upon the plaintiffs for proof.

#### COUNT I – COUNT XLI

272-468. The defendant does not make a response to the allegations contained in Counts I through Counts XLI of the First Amended Master Complaint because the allegations contained within said Counts relate to the conduct of another, for whose conduct the defendant is not legally responsible. To the extent that the allegations of said Counts are intended in any manner to state a claim of liability against the defendant, they are denied.



#### COUNT XLII LUNA TECH, INC. – NEGLIGENCE

- 469. The defendant admits that it is a corporation organized under the laws of the state of Alabama. The defendant denies the remaining allegations contained in this paragraph and calls upon the plaintiffs for proof.
- 470. The defendant denies the allegations contained in this paragraph.
- 471. The defendant denies the allegations contained in this paragraph.
- 472. The defendant denies the allegations contained in this paragraph.

#### <u>COUNT XLIII</u> LUNA TECH, INC. – STRICT LIABILITY

- 473. The defendant, Luna Tech, Inc., repeats and reaffirms its responses as set forth in paragraphs 1 through 472.
- 474. The defendant denies the allegations contained in this paragraph.
- 475. The defendant denies the allegations contained in this paragraph.
- 476. The defendant denies the allegations contained in this paragraph.
- 477. The defendant denies the allegations contained in this paragraph.

#### COUNT XLIV LUNA TECH, INC. – BREACH OF WARRANTY

- 478. The defendant, Luna Tech, Inc., repeats and reaffirms its responses as set forth in paragraphs 1 through 477.
- 479. The defendant denies the allegations contained in this paragraph.
- 480. The defendant denies the allegations contained in this paragraph.

#### COUNT XLV – COUNT LXXXI

481.-706. The defendant does not make a response to the allegations contained in Counts XLV through Counts LXXI of the First Amended Master Complaint because the allegations contained within said Counts relate to the conduct of another, for whose conduct the defendant is not legally responsible. To the extent that the allegations of said Counts are intended in any manner to state a claim of liability against the defendant, they are denied.

#### **AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES**

#### FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiffs' Complaint should be dismissed for lack of personal jurisdiction pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(2).

#### SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiffs' Complaint should be dismissed for failure to state a claim upon which relief may be granted pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(6).

#### THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiffs' Complaint should be dismissed pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(7) for failure to join a party under Rule 19.

#### FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiffs' Complaint should be dismissed pursuant to the Doctrine of Federal Preemption as the plaintiffs' common law tort actions are preempted by federal regulations. United States Constitution, Article 6, Clause 2; Geier v. American Honda Motor Co., Inc., 120 S.Ct. 1913 (2000).

#### FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

This action is barred by operation of the applicable statute of limitations.

#### SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

The plaintiffs' claims against the defendant are barred by laches, waiver, estoppel and/or the applicable statute of limitations.

#### SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

The acts or omissions which are alleged to have caused the damages and/or injuries referred to in the Complaint were committed by a third-party who was not an agent or employee of the defendant and for whose acts or omissions the defendant is not legally responsible.

#### **EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

The defendant alleges that the injuries and damages alleged by plaintiffs, if any, were proximately caused by the illegal, intentional and/or negligent conduct of other parties, and this defendant requests an allocation of such intentional or negligent conduct of other intervening parties and that such intervening acts could not have been anticipated by the defendant. The

<u>Travelers Insurance Co. v. Priority Business Forms, Inc.</u>, 11 F.Supp. 2d 194 (D.R.I. 1998). Accordingly, the plaintiffs' Complaint should be dismissed.

#### NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

The defendant states that the plaintiffs' alleged injuries were not proximately caused by the defendant or by anyone for whose conduct the defendant is legally responsible.

#### TENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

The plaintiffs lack standing to assert the alleged claims and, therefore, the Complaint should be dismissed.

#### **ELEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE**

The plaintiffs have failed to provide timely notice of any breach of warranty, thus the plaintiffs are barred from recovery.

#### TWELFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

The defendant states that it provided no warranties expressed or implied.

#### THIRTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

If any agent or servant of the defendants made any express warranty then such warranty was made without authority, express or implied.

#### FOURTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

The product involved in this action was changed in substance and form after it left the control of the defendant by a third party or by one whose conduct the defendant is not responsible, and such changes were the actual cause of the plaintiffs' injuries.

#### FIFTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

The plaintiffs' claims should be dismissed because at the time of the alleged incident, the product was being misused by a third party for whom the defendant is not responsible.

#### SIXTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

To the extent that the defendant had any obligations to the plaintiffs, and the defendant denies same, any such obligations have been fully, completely and properly performed in every respect, thus the plaintiffs are barred from recovery.

#### SEVENTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

The defendant cannot be held liable under an express or implied warranty of merchantability for failure to warn or provide instructions about risks that were not reasonably foreseeable at the time of sale or could not have been discovered by way of reasonable testing prior to marketing the product; and, therefore, the plaintiff's Complaint should be dismissed.

#### EIGHTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiffs' claims are barred, in whole or in part, by the principles embodied in Section 402A of the Restatement (Second) of Torts, comment (i).

#### NINTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiffs' claim for punitive damages cannot be sustained without bifurcating the trial of punitive damages issues in light of the defendant's due process rights guaranteed by the Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution.

#### TWENTIETH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Should any liability be found on the part of this defendant, this defendant requests that the judgment against it be only in the amount which is in proportion to the extent and percent by which the defendant's acts or omissions contributed to the plaintiffs' injuries or damages. Rhode Island General Laws § 9-20-4; Fiske v. MacGregor, Div. of Brunswick, et al., 464 A.2d 719 (R.I. 1983).

#### TWENTY-FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

In the event that the defendants in this action are determined to be joint tortfeasors, the defendant asserts that it is entitled to an allocation of relative degree of fault among all joint-tortfeasors so that the pro-rata share of each defendant can be determined in accordance with the Rhode Island Uniform Contribution Among Tortfeasors Act, R.I.G.L. § 10-6-1, et seq.

#### **JURY DEMAND**

The defendant demands a jury trial on all issues triable at law.

Respectfully submitted, LUNA TECH, INC.

Curtis R. Diedrich (#4171)

Edward T. Hinchey (Admitted Pro Hac Vice)

SLOANE AND WALSH, LLP

127 Dorrance Street

Providence, RI 02903-2828

(401) 454-7700

Dated: 1/7/05

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that the foregoing was mailed electronically on 1/7/05, postage prepaid, to the following attorneys of record as of said date:

Thomas C. Angelone, Esquire HODOSH SPINELLA & ANGELONE, PC One Turks Head Place, Suite 1050 PO Box 1516 Providence, RI 02901-1516

C. Russell Bengtson, Esquire CARROLL, KELLY & MURPHY One Turks Head Place, Suite 400 Providence, RI 02903

Gregory L. Boyer, Esquire 170 Westminster Street, Suite 200 Providence, RI 02903-2196

Stephen E. Breggia, Esquire **BREGGIA, BOWEN & GRANDE** 395 Smith Street Providence, RI 02908

Mark D. Cahill, Esquire Eric Bradford Hermanson, Esquire CHOATE, HALL & STEWART Exchange Place, 53 State Street Boston, MA 02109

Joseph V. Cavanagh, Jr., Esquire Kristin E. Rodgers, Esquire BLISH & CAVANAGH, LLP 30 Exchange Terrace Providence, RI 02903

Edward M. Crane, Esquire Deborah Solmor, Esquire SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER One Turks Head Place, Suite 900 & FLOM 333 West Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606

Michael R. DeLuca, Esquire GIDLEY, SARLI & MARUSAK, LLP Providence, RI 02903

Anthony F. DeMarco, Esquire Mark Reynolds, Esquire REYNOLDS, DEMARCO & BOLAND, 170 Westminster Street, Suite 200 Providence, RI 02903

Gerald C. DeMaria, Esquire James A. Ruggieri, Esquire HIGGINS, CAVANAGH & COONEY The Hay Building 123 Dyer Street Providence, RI 02903

Marc DeSisto, Esquire **DESISTO LAW** 211 Angell Street P.O. Box 2563 Providence, RI 02906

Mark P. Dolan, Esquire RICE DOLAN & KERSHAW 170 Westminster Street, Suite 900 Providence, RI 02903

Stephen P. Fogerty, Esquire HALLORAN & SAGE LLP 315 Post Road West Westport, C 06880 Mark Hadden, Esquire 68 Kennedy Plaza, Suite 3 Providence, RI 02903

Carl A. Henlein, Esquire John R. Crockett, III, Esquire Susan S. Wettle, Esquire FROST BROWN TODD 400 West Market Street, 32<sup>nd</sup> Floor Louisville, KY 40202-3363 Patrick T. Jones, Esquire COOLEY MANION JONES, LLP 21 Custom House Street Boston, MA 02110

Howard Julian 570 Shermantown Road North Kingstown, RI 02874 Fred A. Kelly, Jr., Esquire Randall L. Souza, Esquire Ian Ridlon, Esquire NIXON PEABODY, LLP One Citizens Plaza, Suite 700 Providence, RI 02903

Ronald Langlois, Esquire Lauen D. Wilkins, Esquire SMITH & BRINK One State Street, Suite 400 Providence, RI 02908 James R. Lee, Esquire
Department of Attorney General
150 South Main Street
Providence, RI 02903

Thomas W. Lyons, Esquire STRAUSS, FACTOR, LAING & LYONS 222 Richmond Street, Suite 208 Providence, RI 02903 Richard W. MacAdams, Esquire MACADAMS & WIECK INCORPORATED 101 Dyer Street, Suite 400 Providence, RI 02903

John R. Mahoney, Esquire ASQUITH & MAHONEY, LLP 155 South Main Street, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor Providence, RI 02903 Eva Marie Mancuso, Esquire
HAMEL, WAXLER, ALLEN &
COLLINS
387 Atwells Avenue
Providence, RI 02909

Mark S. Mandell, Esquire MANDELL, SCHWARTZ & BOISCLAIR One Park Row Providence, RI 02903

Edwin F. McPherson, Esquire MCPHERSON & KALMANSOHN LLP 1801 Century Park East, 24<sup>th</sup> Floor Los Angeles, CA 90067

Steven A. Minicucci, Esquire William A. Filippo, Esquire CALVINO LAW ASSOCIATES 373 Elmwood Avenue Providence, RI 02907

Mark T. Nugent, Esquire Paul Sullivan, Esquire MORRISON MAHONEY LLP 121 South Main Street, Suite 600 Providence, RI 02903

Charles N. Redihan, Jr., Esquire KIERNAN, PLUNKETT & REDIHAN 91 Friendship Street Providence, RI 02903

Michael A. St. Pierre, Esquire REVENS, REVENS & ST. PIERRE, P.C. 946 Centerville Road Warwick, RI 02886 W. Thomas McGough, Jr., Esquire James J. Restivo, Jr., Esquire REED SMITH LLP 435 Sixth Avenue Pittsburgh, PA 15219

Howard A. Merten, Esquire Eric M. Sommers, Esquire VETTER & WHITE 20 Washington Place Providence, RI 02903

James T. Murphy, Esquire Kelly N. Michels, Esquire HANSON CURRAN, LLP 146 Westminster Street Providence, RI 02903

Stephen M. Prignano, Esquire Stephen J. MacGillivray, Esquire EDWARDS & ANGELL, LLP 2800 Financial Plaza Providence, RI 02903

James H. Reilly, III, Esquire
Donald J. Maroney, Esquire
KELLY, KELLEHER, REILLY &
SIMPSON
146 Westminster Street, Suite 500
Providence, RI 02903

Andrew J. Trevelise, Esquire REED SMITH LLP 2500 One Liberty Place Philadelphia, PA 19103 Earl H. Walker, Esquire Charles Babcock, Esquire Nancy W. Hamilton, Esquire JACKSON WALKER LLP 1401 McKinney, Suite 1900 Houston, TX 77010

Max Wistow, Esquire John P. Barylick, Esquire Wistow & Barylick, Inc. 61 Weybosset Street Providence, RI 02903 George E. Wolf, III, Esquire Ann Songer, Esquire Shook, Hardy & Bacon, LLP One Kansas City Place 1200 Main Street Kansas City, MO 64105

Cuti R. Curlin CURTIS R. DIEDRICH

S:\VARIOUS PLAINTIFFS V. LUNA TECH - LE280-6098\Pleadings'GRAY\Answer to First Amended Complaint.doc